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Jamais
Je ne pourrai grandir mon unité vivante
Jusqu’à ce que l’énorme dehors entre en elle
On joue du piano, là-bas,
De l’autre côté de la rue.
Je devine à tâtons que c’est
Une jeune fille vêtue
D’un corsage blanc, d’une jupe
Bleue, et d’un ruban à la taille.

Elle est seule au chaud de sa chambre.
Ses yeux voudraient bien être aimés.
Les notes glissent par les mailles
Des rideaux, passent la croisée,
Rencontrent des rayons de gaz;
Le son me vient sur la clarté,
Et j’écoute onduler vers moi
Une musique à flamme jaune.

Jules Romains, La Vie Unanime (1908)

Color: Something Repulsive

How color functioned in the formation of Cubism? — Jules Romains (1885-1972) was a young poet just over the age of twenty when he published his poetry La vie unanime at the artist colony Abbaye de Créteil, south-east of Paris, in spring 1908.[1] His aesthetics, generally called Unanimisme, praised the “intersubjective” experience as an actual reality of modern world — an experience in which multiple subjects merge into one by overcoming the linear subject-object relationship — to cite the contemporary phenomenologist Edmund Husserl (1859-1938). Just as Husserl proposed looking introspectively into one’s self in order to return “to the object itself/zu den Sachen selbst,” Romains, in his work, sharpened his internal senses so exquisitely that he could capture the intersubjective reality — color, sound, touch, femininity, immaturity, encounters, intersections, and decentralized structure. Romains’ poetry is “colorful” in a philosophical sense. The poet salvaged periphery and the secondary qualities represented by color, which had spilled out from the phallic logocentrism in Western philosophy. In fact, the intersubjective world of Unanimisme is filled with colorful representations, as evidenced by recent studies that have placed the viewer’s experience of color on a phenomenological dimension.[2]

Regardless of its short existence, the artist colony Abbaye de Créteil empowered young Romains to step into his challenging project. The group that dwelled there provided a landmark of modern cultural history, considering that the future star avant-gardists — including major Cubists and Futurists, such as F. T. Marinetti (1876-1944), Albert Gleizes (1881-1953), and Gino Severini (1883-1966) — spent their pre-revolutionary era together there.[3] They had critically emulated the principle of Free Verse demonstrated by Walt Whitman and Gustave Kahn and had venerated the anarchism of Aleksei Tolstoy and Peter
Kropotkin. Through their political and artistic radicalism, they boosted their praise of lively dynamism to the artistic front, which had been otherwise neglected by the classical worldview of the static self-and-world relationship. The aesthetics of *Abbaye de Créteil*, therefore, was naturally associated with that of Cubism and Futurism, characterized by these genres' dynamic pictorial structure. In fact, several art historians had already pointed out this affinity as early as the 1960s, not only because Gleizes and Severini frequented the group, but also because the group professed the spatial/temporal dynamism that could foresee the tenets of the subsequent avant-gardes.[4] Specifically, considering the significant role that the *Abbaye* played in the birth of Orphisme,[5] a visual world consisting of heterogeneous color patches would reasonably correspond with the fin-de-siècle mindset that refuted the classical sense of time and space. Friedrich Schlegel designated “fragmentation” as an attribute of modern art as early as the beginning of the nineteenth century. However, as art historian Fujio Maeda pointed out, it should be noted that such fragmentation was inseparably connected to the usage of color expression in the modern visual world.[6] For example, as early as 1867, writers such as Emile Zola saw through the peculiar effect of the fragmental color contrasts in the work of Edouard Manet, which was independent of subject matter.[7] Furthermore, we all know the subsequent prosperous years of color-oriented avant-gardes such as Impressionism, Symbolism, Neo-Impressionism, and Fauve — all of which set color as the sum and substance of their pictorial structure. Following contemporary theorist Ernest Cassirer, who designated modernity as the age of “relation” instead of that of “substance,”[8] it can be safely said that color was the most appropriate medium through which to express such relative dynamism, as it can posit relations on the canvas by juxtaposition while line and design trace substantial outlines.[9]

Cubism, which emerged through Pablo Picasso (1881-1973) and Georges Braque (1882-1963), was born during such a period of color art prosperity. Historically and formally speaking, therefore, it could be reasonable to delineate Cubism as a part of the modern widespread discourse of the color fragmental space emerging in the late nineteenth century. However, any scholar who looks into the historiography of Cubism would encounter strong resistance to such an explanation. In his *Der Weg zum Kubismus* (1920), Daniel-Henry Kahnweiler, the exclusive art dealer for Picasso and Braque, famously asserted the heroic epic of Pablo Picasso, which began with the creation of “Demoiselles d’Avignon” (1907), and concluded with the expulsion of its color.

In the year 1906, Braque, Derain, Matisse and many others were still striving for expression through color, using only pleasant arabesques, and completely dissolving the form of the object. Cézanne’s great example was still not understood.... Early in 1907 Picasso began a strange large painting depicting women, fruit and drapery, which he left unfinished. It cannot be called other than unfinished, even though it represents a long period of work. Begun in the spirit of the works of 1906, it contains in one section the endeavors of 1907 and thus never constitutes a unified whole.... The colors are luscious blue, strident yellow, next to pure black and white. This is the beginning of Cubism, the first upsurge, a desperate titanic clash with all of the problems at once.... An artist who is possessed of the divine gift, genius, always produces aesthetic creations...whatever their
‘appearance’ may be... A short period of exhaustion followed; the artist’s battered spirit turned to problems of pure structure.... At the same time of course, the problem of comprehension — of structure — was always in the foreground.... The question of color, on the other hand, was completely by passed.[10]

Although ninety years have passed since the publication of this text, the position of color in the literature of Cubism has not seen any radical change. In the literature on Cubism, the discussion on color is limited to the study of papiers collés emerging from 1912, Robert Delaunay's (1885-1941) Orphisme, and the activity of the “Salon Cubists,” who exhibited their works at the annual Salon starting in 1911. Thus almost no study thematized color as an essential element in the birth of Cubism according to the consecutive history of the function of color in visual space that began with Impressionism.[11]

For art dealer Kahnweiler, announcing the farewell to color must have been the easiest way to distinguish the “genius” under his aegis from the contemporary “mediocre” artists who delved into color expressions at that time. As David Batchelor argues, such “chromophobia” has long permeated the Western cultural discourse.[12] The word “color” derived from the prefix “kel” of the Indian-European parent language, which means “skin, surface, and conceal.”[13] Probably stemming in part from this etymology, color has been designated as a secondary quality that can only creep over the surface of things. Likewise, in the field of visual art, the ascendency of design over color is — needless to say — an outcome of this discourse.[14] Immanuel Kant’s Critique of Judgment (1790), from which Kahnweiler borrowed his idea of “analysis and synthesis” to explain the stylistic change of Cubism, also argued that delineation essentially contributed to beauty while color simply enlivened the sensation or Empfindung.[15] At the same time, however, this means that color has concealed (also deriving from “kel”) or retained the peripheral knowledge repressed by philosophical institutionalization. Critics including even J. W. Goethe, presumably one of the greatest supporters of the discourse of color, condemned brilliant colors as a “gaudy” attribute of the barbarian and the uncultured.[16] The colorful expression by the Impressionists was mocked as morbid “indigo-mania” by contemporary critics.[17] The decorated colorful interior was regarded as the place of otium or female leisure, irrelevant to the place of negotium or male business.[18] In this way, in the West, color has always been offered a place among the repressed, which was characterized by irrationality, femininity, domesticity, decorativeness, barbarism, and mental illness.[19]

This conceptual topology reminds us of the etymology of the Japanese word color or i-ro, which means “the place (ro) of menace, taboo, and terribleness (i).” Color theorist Asao Komachiya argued that the concept of color has historically been associated with ethically rejected issues such as death, destruction, and taboo.[20] His argument reminds us of the possible fact that color has a destructive power exclusively intrinsic to the peripheral existence. Considering Peter Bürger’s theory of “defamiliarization,” which argued that the avant-garde was an attempt to retroactively reveal the repressed darkness hidden behind the institutionalization,[21] color as the retainer of menace must have been an appropriate means for this violating attempt made by the avant-gardists. Picasso and his work, which were always
driven by the beauty of destruction,[22] do not fit the static and even arguably hypocritical explanation of “analysis and synthesis.” It is true that the colors of the works of Picasso and Braque around 1911 (Fig. 1) were dark.[23] However, consideration of color as a system of knowledge, and determining whether its tone is bright or not, is not a significant issue for discussion. Designating color as a horizon of knowledge is one aim of this paper — namely, logos vs. chaos, sequence vs. fragmentation, the civilized vs. the uncivilized, and the “male” saneness vs. the “female” insanity. One might even argue that Cubism was born as a result of the rising interest in color. The proposition of the possibility of such a repulsive history is the aim herein.

**Cubism as Color Art: A Discourse to Be Rediscovered**

An obvious severance occurred between the fin-de-siècle discourse praising the chaotic attempts of the avant-gardists and that of the post-World War I proposing stable social orders and nationalism even in the field of art. Considering that Kahnweiler wrote *Der Weg zum Kubismus* (1920) in Switzerland, a place of exile, to protect his collections under the aegis of German philosophy,[24] the pre-war discourse should be carefully examined by differentiating it from that emerging in post-war society. American critic Gelett Burgess, who maintained a certain distance from contemporary European partisanship, exclaimed the following with surprise when he encountered Picasso’s nudes in 1908 (Fig. 2):

> The terrible pictures loom through the chaos. Monstrous, monolithic women, creatures like Alaskan totem poles, hacked out of solid, brutal colors, frightful, appalling! (…) Picasso gets drunk on vermilion and cadmium.[25]

In the face of the audacious structure of Picasso’s Cubism painting, Burghess described his brilliant impression using the vocabulary of peripheral concepts such as color, women, and “primitive” culture. Burghess’ statement is also reminiscent of comments by Impressionist critic Ernest Fillonneau, who reacted similarly to the work of Camille Pissarro (1830-1903), thirty years before the advent of Cubism: “Mr. Pissarro became completely unintelligible. In his tableaux, he married all the colors of the rainbow. How violent, tough, and brutal he is.”[26]
Presumably, nineteenth-century viewers who had encountered the continuous destruction of spatial representation in avant-garde art must have read such violent novelty; importantly this novelty could not be irrelevant to color expression. A pertinent example of this connection is the fact that Guillaume Apollinaire applied his eulogizing article on Fauve’s revolutionary character in 1908 to his later work *The Cubist Painters* (1913). Apollinaire published his original article entitled “Three Virtues of Plastic Art,” for the avant-garde exhibition in Le Havre in June 1908, where Bonnard, Braque, Denis, Derain, Matisse, Metzinger, Redon, Sérisier, Signac, Van Dongen, and Vlaminck — namely, some Fauvists, Symbolists, Neo-Impressionists, and proto-Cubists — all assembled.[27] In this homage to the avant-gardists, Apollinaire likened their art to a flame and claimed that beauty was not eternal. Under these dynamic aesthetics, he described the typical classical concepts of “purity, synthesis, and truth” in the most radical precepts: “purity driven by personal intuition,” “synthesis seeing the fleeting world,” and “the truth being incessantly renewed.” Five years later, the full text of this commemorative article reappeared in the preface of his *The Cubist Painters*.[28]

In this way, the modernist trend toward the pictorial dynamic fragmentation, which Zola had foreseen and Romains and Apollinaire had eulogized, culminated in this era of Cubism. Of course, this should not be perceived as a proposal of historical progressivism. However, it should be noted that the avant-garde art from Impressionism to Cubism shared — in part — a common destructive moment, as evidenced by such examples as the number of critics including Kahnweiler perceiving a taste of Cubism in André Derain’s Fauve,[29] or those around the turn of the century who referred to Neo-Impressionist color confetti as “cubes” before Vauxelles and Matisse began to use the terms “Cubism” or “cubes” for Cubism (Fig. 3).[30]

In 1914, American art historian Arthur Eddy commented:

Twenty or twenty-five years ago painters who used a broad technic [sic], and especially those who used the palette knife to lay the pigment in flat sweeps, were looked upon as charlatans and sensationalists. (...) This broad technic is simply painting in planes – in a sense, simply modified Cubism.[31]

In the same year, Fernand Léger (1881-1955) — who had been patronized by Kahnweiler since 1913 — also announced almost exactly the same view.

The impressionists were the first to reject the absolute value of the subject and to consider its value to be merely relative. That is the tie that links and explains the entire modern evolution. (...) they considered painting for its color only, neglecting all form and all line almost entirely. (...) For the impressionists a green apple on a red rug is no longer the relationship between two objects, but the relationship between two tones. (...) One painter
among the impressionists, Cézanne ... felt the necessity for a new form and draftsmanship closely linked to the new color.[32] [Emphasis YK]

Here in Léger’s statement, Paul Cézanne, who had been regarded more as a painter of structure and form, distinguished himself as a painter of color. However, in fact, as Judith Wechsler pointed out, Cézanne’s work was marked for its color by contemporaries such as Zola, Huysmans, and Bernard, among others. Indeed, in 1877, Zola designated Cézanne as the “great colorist,” while Bernard spread the tenet of “there is no line nor modeling, but only contrasts” as a teaching of Cézanne.[33] Then, what is this “new color” that invalidated the “absolute value” of delineation by putting everything in relation to each other? Why did this new media capture the hearts of the avant-gardists from the Impressionists to the Cubists?

Living Color, or the Quality of Life

Henri Bergson, whose work was well read by the avant-garde artists, including major Symbolists, Futurists, and Cubists — some of whom had frequented the Abbaye de Créteil[34] — commented in his Time and Free Will in 1889.

Shall we call the intensity of light a quantity, or shall we treat it as a quality?...Look closely at a sheet of paper lighted ... by four candles, and put out in succession .... You say that the surface remains white and that its brightness diminishes. ... [However] you will find that what you really perceive is not a diminished illumination of the white surface. ... If you call the first surface in all its brilliancy white, you will have another name to what you now see, for it is a different thing.... The variations in brightness of a given color ... would thus be nothing but qualitative changes.[35]

In the chapter including the previous citation, Bergson raised an objection against contemporary psychophysics that quantified every state of consciousness. Moreover, in the same chapter, Bergson repetitively used the rhetoric of the color gradation to visualize the ever-changing flow of what he called “duration,” or the unquantifiable state of consciousness.[36] In order to enliven all the parts of the spatial consciousness by avoiding mechanical quantification — that is, argue that any part of visual consciousness could shoulder élan vital — color representation was indispensable as it filled in the entire visual space.

The discourse that embraced the material value of space started with Ludwig Büchner’s Power and Matter in 1855, and gradually terminated with Albert Einstein’s refutation of the ether theory in 1905. Notably, during this period, many intellectuals — including those among the avant-garde painters — claimed color to be a major component of the spatial perception. For example, Hippolyte Taine, in his representative work On Intelligence (1870), read by Monet and Zola,[37] famously argued that the blind person who gained vision in a day could only see color patches; therefore the painter had only to juxtapose various color patches on the canvas.[38] The French psychologist Theodule Ribot, who co-edited with Taine the journal Revue Philosophique starting in 1876, and contributed to popularizing German and British
neuro-physiology as well as Schopenhauer philosophy, also asserted that the primary representation of vision was color.[39] Both Taine and Ribot rejected the so-called absolute *apriori* space, and claimed that the sense of space could only be generated by the relative movements among multiple points in space.[40] In sum, according to their theory, color contributed to the visualization of space by representing various “qualities” in relation to each other. Therefore, color was supposed to be associated with time and duration because of its dynamic movements among multiple points of visual space. Such a concept as durational color was evident not only in the work of Bergson, but also in that of Schopenhauer, whose theory had circulated well among French artists and intellectuals since the 1860s through various survey books including that of Theodule Ribot.[41] Considering the fact that Schopenhauer, Ribot, and Taine were ardently read by many avant-gardists — from Impressionists to Cubists up to and including Robert Delaunay[42] — as well as the fact that Bergson (who positively inherited Ribot’s psychology) had a great impact on the formation of Cubist aesthetics,[43] it is evident that the theoretical conglomeration of color/quality/duration drove the avant-garde intellectuals to the new modern dynamism around the turn of the century.

One approach for examining such discourse of the “new color” and its relation to Cubism, involves looking into the work and theory of the Cubist painter Jean Metzinger (1883-1965), who played a critical role in mediating the Picasso-Braque circle and the Salon Cubists starting in 1906, and Apollinaire further designated Metzinger as a founder of Cubism as well as the other two, Picasso and Braque.[44] Born in Nantes, Metzinger moved to Paris around 1903 and started his career as a pointillist painter. The style of his work during this early period can be seen in his mosaic-like painting, which he drew together with Robert Delaunay — who was to be called “Cubist” along with Metzinger as a result of the Indépendant exhibition in 1911 onward (Fig. 4).[45] In 1907, the year during which Metzinger created pointillist work, he stated:

I ask of divided brushwork not the objective rendering of light, but iridescences and *certain aspects of color still foreign to painting*. I make a kind of chromatic verification and for syllables I use strokes which, variable in *quality*, cannot differ in dimension without modifying the rhythm of a pictorial phraseology destined to translate the diverse emotions aroused by nature.[46] [Emphasis YK]

Here we see the coalition of the “new color” that Léger proposed and the visual world filled with the colored “quality” that Bergson depicted. More importantly, until his Cubist era, Metzinger had maintained his idea that color embodied the Bergsonian concept of quality. In 1912, in their theoretical book, *On Cubism* — which is said to be the first theory book on
Cubism written by the Cubists themselves — Metzinger and his collaborator Gleizes proposed that one should see light in terms of color and quality. Their argument was obviously influenced by Bergsonism in view of their keen interest in Bergson’s theory during this period.

The Neo-Impressionists ... they know too well that color in art is a quality of light, and that one cannot divide a quality. [However] It is still light that they divide. (...) We do not automatically associate the sensation of white with the idea of light, and no more than black with the idea of darkness. (...) Loving light, we refuse to measure it.[47] [Emphasis YK]

Because of its chromophobic tendency, the literature of Cubism to date has not examined the seemingly incomprehensible notions of color/light and quality. However, having scrutinized the color-space discourse emerging in the late nineteenth century, it now seems appropriate to argue that this understanding of color and quality was a part of the huge transitional discourse.

Another reason why the critics’ argument remains relatively unexamined probably lies in the fact that almost no theoretical works by Gleizes and Metzinger during the pre-war period was known to the academic world except their On Cubism (1912). However, Metzinger did complete a little-known article written in Czech, “Kubistiká Technika (Cubist Technique)” (1913), which was first publicized as a work of art history in Mark Antliff and Patricia Leighten’s A Cubism Reader in 2008.[48] As the article as a whole — including the Czech avant-garde movement within the journal Volné Směry (Free Direction) — was examined in detail in A Cubism Reader, here in the discussion will focus on confirming that the Bergsonian concept of color and quality succeeded in “Kubistiká Technika” as well.

A work of art that is executed in keeping with this kind of [Cubist] perspective will possess as many ‘horizons’ as the artist deems to be necessary. The space will not be divided up following the visual cone — the vanishing lines — but rather by perpendicular cross sections which will be suitable for preserving the qualities and properties of each of the forms.[49] [Emphasis YK]

During this period, when Metzinger described the Cubist pictorial space as in the previous comment, he in fact painted a tableau with no distinction between the subject and the background, which made the whole pictorial space of his painting function as “quality” (Fig. 5). In view of the theoretical consistency in Metzinger’s writing, this Cubist work had presumably the same root as his former mosaic work, which was filled with color patches (Fig. 4). In “Kubistiká Technika” (1913), as in On Cubism in 1912,
Metzinger claimed that color had a quality by which it could be distinguished from light.\[^{50}\]
He subsequently evaluated the avant-garde movement since Impressionism:

Although impressionism failed as an aesthetic project it did prove to us that color gains in expressive power if — instead of simply applying paint to a canvas — we place the basic elements of each tone separately on the canvas in small dots or patches. We are guided by the same analytical spirit as the impressionists, but our circumstances have changed. \textit{We no longer form the world out of the vibrations of the sun's rays, but rather out of the vibrations of the mind.}\[^{51}\]

As color theorist Junichi Murata pointed out, color is a visual media that could offer the space in which the ability to sense and to be sensed could fuse together since a color itself was a product of sensation. Color, therefore, could embrace the possibility of functioning as a place of “living” by overcoming the dichotomy between viewing and being viewed.\[^{52}\] The discussion thus far has confirmed that the modern dynamic aesthetics based on humanity's lively perception was in fact historically incarnated by the collaboration between the avant-gardists and the theorists who found the unquantifiable flow of consciousness in color expressions. Among them, color was expected to embody the “quality” that could repel the dry and sterile concept of abstract space/time — a concept most detested by the modern \textit{Lebens Philosophie}, or the philosophy of life. In this stage, color was to function as a moral code in modern society. Insightfully, in his book thematizing the ethics rooted in the discourse of the “quality of life,” sociologist Joseph Sirgy quoted the following epigram at the beginning of his book: “Life is like an artichoke. You strip away a few years. You peel off an illusion or two, and what remains is essential... the heart.” (anonymous).\[^{53}\] In this modernist discourse centralizing life first and foremost, what one needs is innumerable “patches” to fill with one's life, whether these patches are those of an artichoke or not.

\textbf{Polyfocus or the Unfinished: A Trace of Effort in Color}

The morality embodied by the colored space, therefore, would reject any kind of completeness and stillness. This Sisyphean torture, however, could generate a sense of euphoria in the modern discourse based on the praise of life. Phenomenologist Merleau-Ponty discovered the proof of life in Cézanne’s anxiety caused by the painter’s failure and indecisiveness.\[^{54}\] Similarly, the fragmental spatial representation from Impressionism to Cubism arguably embraced the paradoxical desire for struggle, failure, and saturation, as evidenced by Bergson’s statement:

\begin{quote}
No image will replace the intuition of the duration, but many different images, \textit{taken from quite different orders of things}, will be able, through the convergence of their action, to direct consciousness to the precise point where there is a certain intuition to seize on. \textit{By choosing images as dissimilar as possible}, any one of them will be prevented usurping the place of the intuition it is instructed to call forth, since it would then be driven...\end{quote}
immediately by its rivals. By seeing that in spite of their differences in aspect they all
demand of the mind the same kind of attention and, as it were, the same degree of tension,
one will gradually accustom consciousness to a particular and definitely determined
disposition, precisely the one it will have to adapt in order to appear unveiled to itself.[55]

This paradoxical thesis argued that one could reach the essence of things only by suspending
one’s attention, by not staying at one specific point, and by letting different orders intermingle.
Not only the aesthetic but also the political phase of Cubism seems to have embraced this harsh
modern discourse. Parallel to its unstable form, since its start Cubism had interfered with the
domains of the Other, continually transgressing and involving them: for example, African
masks, “primitive” women, prostitutes, and non-Euclidean geometry. This was far from the
expectation of the stability of high art and had never settled in a certain fixed domain.[56]
Although Cubism had nevertheless been regarded as a French avant-garde before the war, once
World War I broke out, even such bare identity would face danger. As Kenneth Silver discussed
in detail, during the period of the “call to order,” which promoted nationalistic and anti-
German sentiment in France, “Cubism” was disdained as a German oppositional art as
“Kubism” since Kahnweiler was of Jewish origin.[57] This ironical ambiguity was probably
most crystallized in the artistic and political status of Apollinaire, who had been one of the
most significant opinion leaders of Cubism. In 1930, when national and racial tensions
culminated in Europe, the critic Michel Puy — who had continued writing on Cubism since its
early period — reviewed the position of Apollinaire situated among the pre-war avant-garde as
follows:

Pole in his origin, brought into France and Italy, the great reader of the literature of
delicacy, and the admirer at the same time of Chrétien de Troyes and de Paul Féval, he
brought foreign dispositions into French literature, or our culture and our race. He was the
most representative figure of an epoch where, under the influences of the Nordic, Oriental,
Jewish, the French artists were taken from their own lineage, and given up in letting the
artistic creation bloom naturally from their sensibility.[58]

Having renovated the blood of modern art by introducing tensions and attentions accompanied
with the paradoxical coexistence of different orders, Apollinaire truly embodied the Lebens
Philosophie through his own aesthetic and socio-political topology.

Jonathan Crary, in his Suspension of Perception (2000), depicted the modernity beginning
in the nineteenth century as a movement in which the foundation of perception itself was
interrogated through interruption, void, and friction of perception.[59] In other words, it was
the age that confessed to the self-hurting love of life and that summoned the pleasure of the
incompleteness by hurting and interrupting our own perception and life itself. Zola found
pleasure after his initial distasteful feeling when he saw Manet’s painting.[60] Ogden Rood also
found transparent beauty in the dizziness caused by viewing pointillism.[61] Similarly, the
resistant history of Cubism that never stays in one place — reminding us of our own history of
post-colonial age as well, which never allows us to have a dogmatic point of view — did not desire the finished, but rather the incompleteness. Apollinaire’s *The Cubist Painters* (1913) as well as his eulogy on Fauve (1908) began with the following phrases:

The plastic virtues: purity, unity, and truth, hold nature subjugated at their feet. In vain the rainbow is curved into shape, the seasons tremor, crowds rush towards death, science unmakes and remakes what already exists, worlds fade forever from our minds, our moving images kept recurring or reawaken their thoughtlessness, and the parades of colours, smells and sounds astonish us, before disappearing from nature. ... This monster of beauty is not eternal. We know that the breath of our life and creativity had no beginning and will have no end, yet we conceive before all else the creation and the end of the world.[62]

The story or the history that began with Jules Romains has returned to the place of color again. As Romains saw the fusion and dissolution of his identity in his encounter with the Other, Cubism and its history have regenerated itself through the incessant dialogues between the self and the Other by capriciously swallowing the knowledge it touched.[63] Color has always been joined with its endless erotic desire.
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