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1. Introduction

David Hockney, the contemporary British artist (b. 1937), has visited Japan at least six times to date [1] and has often spoken of the connection between his art and his time spent in Japan [2]. However, relevant previous studies have involved only partial interpretations of Hockney’s comments, and there have been no detailed investigations of individual artworks or considerations of the significance of the artist’s involvement with Japan. This study analyses in detail, for the first time, the features that Hockney discovered in Japanese art; suggests novel origins for these features; and points to their importance. Thus, the connection with Japan is clarified, a connection that, although frequently mentioned, has not yet been interpreted in depth. The circumstances of Hockney’s trips to Japan in 1971 and 1983, which can be regarded, even by the artist himself, as particularly influential, are discussed, and there is a novel study of the Japanese art that inspired his visual expression and his spatial compositions.

2. Trip to Japan in 1971

Hockney first travelled to Japan in November 1971. In 1972, having returned to the UK, he produced the acrylic paintings *Japanese Rain on Canvas* (Figure 1) and *Mt. Fuji and Flowers* (Figure 2) and, in Los Angeles during the following year, he produced the lithograph and screen print series *Weather Series* (Figures 9-12) [3]. In his autobiography, the artist confesses that during this visit to Japan he was disappointed with the rather industrial scenery, but enthralled by the traditional art [4]. As a result, the aforementioned works have been interpreted as allusions of mainly Japanese art or stereotypical photos and images of Japan rather than actual scenery [5]. The only artwork that Hockney actually names as having seen on this trip is *Osaka in the Rain*, which was on display in the Kyoto Municipal Museum of Art. Based on the annual reports of the Kyoto Municipal Museum of Art, Hiroya Sugimura has identified this artwork as *Osaka in the Rain* by Yoson Ikeda (Figure 3), which was part of an exhibition entitled ‘Nine excellent Japanese-style painters in Kyoto’, which showcased Kyoto’s best nihon-ga (Japanese-style paintings) [6]. Hockney reminisced about the painting as follows:

‘The misty clouds over the river and street were suggested only by the thin bars of the rain, and the little cars and people walking about all had just the slightest suggestion of reflection under them, making the whole thing look extremely wet’ [7].
Enthralled by the diversity of traditional techniques in these nihon-ga, particularly the
diversity of techniques with which the Japanese drew the rain, Hockney decided to paint
*Japanese Rain on Canvas*, experimenting with dribbling heavily diluted acrylic paint over the
base [8].

There is no doubt that the motifs in this painting (the rain depicted by straight lines; the
ovals for stones, which are repeated at an angle amid puddles; and the concentric ripples in those
puddles) work together to impart a watery texture, an effect that is facilitated thanks to the
pooling and bleeding of the paint. The beginning of the 1970s, when this painting was produced,
has been considered a frustrating period for Hockney as an artist, as he was still attempting to
paint using a strict one-point perspective [9].

Previous studies interpret his Japan-related artworks as an attempt to transcend the dead-
end of such naturalistic painting [10]. However, the composition of Ikeda’s *Osaka in the Rain* is
in fact not far from the conventional western linear perspective, and Hockney could also have
been influenced by other Japanese works.

In this study, the catalogue of the ‘*Nine excellent Japanese-style painters in Kyoto*’
exhibition that Hockney attended is also examined, and this investigation extends to the works
of other artists. The list of exhibits in the catalogue includes works by eight Japanese artists other
than Ikeda. Quite a few of the listed works have a rain or water theme but, in my opinion, the
pieces worth noting in this context are by Heihachiro Fukuda and Shinsen Tokuoka [11].
According to Mr. Kenji Nishimura, head of the Nishimura Gallery, who was among the first to
introduce Hockney to Japan, Hockney was very interested in Fukuda’s work and, in
approximately the 1990s, he apparently spoke of wanting to hold a Heihachiro Fukuda solo
exhibition at the Tate Gallery. Mr. Nishimura also suggests a relationship between still-life
pictures by Fukuda and some of Hockney’s works [12].

When looking anew at the works in the ‘*Nine excellent Japanese-style painters in Kyoto*’
exhibition, it can be seen that Fukuda’s *Ripple* (Figure 4) and *Fresh Snow* (Figure 5) are
composed of motifs close up, and are repeated as flat patterns at an angle. In Tokuoka’s *Rain*
(Figure 7), there are also concentric ripples formed by the rain around oval stones in water. This
diagram-like expression resembles Hockney’s *Japanese Rain on Canvas*. Parallels can also be
drawn between Tokuoka’s *Pond* (Figure 8) and Hockney’s *Mt. Fuji and Flowers*. In *Mt. Fuji and Flowers*, Mt. Fuji is in the top half of a vista visible through a window, with a reflection of the
mountain in a lake in the bottom half, while a bunch of narcissi in a single-flower vase is located
on a windowsill in the foreground. It has been suggested that this work is an imaginary
composition based on a photograph from a flower arrangement manual and a postcard of Mt.
Fuji [13]. Nevertheless, the background is formed of tones of blue created by the blurring of
heavily diluted paint, and differing textures are used for the blue sky, for Mt. Fuji, and for the
reflection of Mt. Fuji in the surface of the water. Meanwhile, Tokuoka’s *Pond* is also composed
of two sections (the space above the water, and the surface of the water); it has a limited ochre
and green palette, and the bleeding of those two colours is utilised to effectively portray the lotus
leaves and their reflections in the surface of the water.

If we further develop this line of thought (concerning repeating patterns, the depiction of
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water by straight lines and by ripples and the technique of bleeding paint), it is possible to suggest a sensibility particular to traditional nihon-ga. First, in works constructed with a pattern, unlike the perspective depth that has become traditional in Western art since the Renaissance, the same motif is repeated on one plane, giving rise to rhythmical movement. As the eye follows these rhythmic repetitions, the viewer can surely feel the very passage of time. In Fukuda’s Rain (Figure 6), which was also on show at the aforementioned exhibition, a rain shower that is beginning to fall onto a roof is depicted [14]. The slight early spots of rain on the roofing tiles presage the later development of a full-fledged shower. It is not unreasonable to suggest that, in the same way, Hockney’s Japanese Rain on Canvas employs the use of stylized rather than representational expression, in which the rain is shown by lines and ripples on puddles, and allows the artist to not just depict a single moment, but also to imply in the same picture the time before and after that moment. Additionally, from the viewpoint of technique, the texture of the dribbled paint and the bleeding effect emphasize the process by which the paint soaks into the base as the artist works, prolonging time.

In addition, the fact that the six works in the lithograph and screen print series Weather Series have various types of weather as their theme [Rain (Figure 9), Sun (Figure 10), Lightning (Figure 11), Wind (Figure 12), and Snow and Mist] suggests a relationship with the Japanese portrayal of weather, most notably in ukiyo-e [15]. Indeed, upon concrete analysis, in Rain and Sun steady streams of rain and sunlight are expressed with lines, conveying their continuosness. Also, in Lightning, the moment when thunder is heard is retained as a symbol of lightning; Wind shows paper being blown by a gust of wind, as if time has stood still, with motion lines added to emphasise the trajectory of the movement. Each work conveys its own delicate sense of moment and of the duration of time. In addition, as various types of weather are shown in variations of the same format, as a series, the works could be seen as an expression of the continual passage of days and of seasonal change [16]. Looking again at Ikeda’s Osaka in the Rain, besides the rain shown using lines and reflections on wet ground, he also depicts papers being blown upwards by the wind and floating in the air; hence, this work also includes various expressions of time.

All of the above-mentioned works demonstrate that, in his Japan-related works from the 1970s, Hockney used expression of time with nihon-ga compositions and techniques, and this has been overlooked in the past. This receptiveness to Japanese art was developed further on his second trip to Japan, approximately ten years later.

3. 1983 – Second trip to Japan and the stimulus for ‘reverse perspective’

3-1. Outline of ‘reverse perspective’ and controversial points

In the 1980s, a series of photographic collages created by Hockney attracted worldwide attention as revolutionary visual expressions of their era [17] (Figures 13 and 14). A single photograph, like a one-point perspective painting, usually has just one focus point and captures one static moment. In contrast to this, Hockney attempted to express human movement and the passage of time by combining multiple photographs [18]. While theorising this new type of visual expression and seeking an expression that differed from the one-point perspective, he uniquely proposed the existence of a visual sense that he called ‘reverse perspective’ and he began to use
this method in his work [19].

According to Hockney, in traditional western painting since the Renaissance the picture space converges on one vanishing point, and the boundaries of the picture are fixed. This is because of the use of the one-point perspective method. Therefore, the position of the viewer is restricted to a set position outside the picture, and the result is that only one moment is shown and, as if frozen, the motifs and the viewer lack motion. Meanwhile, the polar opposite visual expression, ‘reverse perspective’, can be seen in Oriental art (i.e. art from outside the western European world), particularly in Chinese art. As an example, he points out that in illustrated handscrolls from the Yuan and Qing periods pictures have multiple focus points (Figures 16, 18 and 19). In other words, viewers are able to unroll the scroll from any point and take their time looking at it, freely changing their viewpoint between motifs. Also, the sky and the ground at the top and the bottom of the scroll do not set clear boundaries to the picture, and so, the viewer is able to appreciate the picture almost as if taking a stroll inside it [20]. Hockney frequently spoke about this visual sense, particularly from the latter half of the 1980s, amplifying its implications beyond just a matter of viewing position towards an expression of time and space, and then also towards a world view [21].

As a matter of fact, the greater part of Hockney’s understanding of ‘reverse perspective’ follows the principle of ‘moving focus’ mentioned by art historian George Rowley in his book *Principles of Chinese Painting*. In his speeches and interviews after 1983, as he obtained this book at the end of this year, Hockney often cited the following passage, which contrasts the visual sense of China with that of the West [22].

“A scroll painting must be experienced in the time like music or literature. Our attention is carried along laterally from right to left, being restricted at any one moment to a short passage which can be conveniently perused. (...)In the European tradition, the interest in measurable space destroyed the “continuous method” of temporal sequence used in the Middle Ages and led to the fifteenth-century invention of the fixed space of scientific perspective. When the Chinese(...)suggested a space through which implied more space beyond the picture frame” [23].

In regard to the significance of Hockney’s kind of ‘reverse perspective’ art, previous studies have tended to only discuss the kind of concepts revealed in his quoted excerpt from Rowley, and ‘reverse perspective’ has been suggested as an Eastern (in other words non-European) visual sense [24]. However, it seems to me that if the discussion is limited to Chinese handscrolls, with Japanese art not distinguished within the broad framework of Eastern art, and if there is only a non-specific comparison of Western and Eastern, then some significant aspects of the issue are being excluded. More than six months before becoming acquainted with Rowley’s book, in Japan the artist received an important stimulus to his actual work.

3-2. The Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple

In February 1983, having been invited to attend the International Conference of Papers in Kyoto, Hockney spent some more time in Japan, visiting the Ryoanji Temple in late February
According to Hockney’s autobiography, creating a photographic collage of that temple’s Zen garden was an opportunity to discover the significance of ‘reverse perspective’. Having first taken a photograph for the collage entitled *Sitting in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple* (Figure 13), the artist felt that there was too strong an emphasis on the V-shaped composition that appeared to converge on one point at the back of the picture, which had the effect of amplifying the sense of perspective. As a result, next, aiming to leave the garden undistorted by perspective, he took pictures while walking slowly along the curve of stones. This was in order to express the garden as rectangular, exactly as seen from above. After returning to Los Angeles, in the very act of using those photographs to compose the collage *Walking in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple* (Figure 14), he noticed the significance of ‘reverse perspective’ [26]. Up to that point, his photographic collages had a photograph of his feet stuck in one place in the lower part of the work, and his feet stay in that one spot (Figure 13). Thus, only movement that was in the artist’s line of sight was shown rather than a movement of his entire body. However, from that point on, with the feet of the artist walking from left to right being expressed in the lower part of *Walking in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple*, the passage of the artist and the movement of his body are emphasised and there is an increase in works that are expanded spatially. The overall picture has a form that broadens out towards the back, suggesting an intention to reverse the composition of perspective, which narrows as it extends back.

In previous studies, excluding the above episode about which the artist has spoken, there is no indication of the impact of the Zen garden, so here I intend to comment on the traditional methods of appreciation and landscaping of Japan’s Ryoanji Temple Zen Garden and show that they fit with Hockney’s ‘reverse perspective’.

First, the Zen gardens have traditionally been intended to be viewed while sitting. In other words, they are gardens associated with appreciation through viewing while sitting in one particular place inside the temple (Figure 15) [27]. Similar to this manner of viewing the garden, Hockney initially takes photographs while sitting in just one spot on the veranda. Next, the distribution of stones in the Zen garden has various meanings resulting from an ancient relationship with Zen philosophy, with the concept of the ‘hidden stone’ being particularly well known. In the garden, 15 stones are positioned within a confined space, making it impossible to see all 15 stones from any one spot; one stone is always hidden [28]. Indeed, if a photograph is taken while sitting on the veranda, the view is extremely constricted, and only some of the stones can be seen. Meanwhile, with *Walking in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple*, Hockney’s intention was to thoroughly show a walk through the three-dimensional space that cannot be fully perceived when viewed while sitting in one spot. Thus, it is surely possible that hearing about the concept of the ‘hidden stone’ gave him the idea for the composition.

Delving deeper into the garden’s construction, it appears at first glance to be a perfect rectangle. However, when viewed head on, the ground gets lower as it goes back and to the left, and the right wall opposite also lowers as it goes back. This is said to have the effect of choreographing perspective [29]. Hockney claims to have discovered ‘reverse perspective’, whereby the image opens out the further towards the background the viewer looks, in his desire to express the Zen garden as a rectangle undistorted by conventional perspective. The direction is different, but there is an obvious resemblance in the subtle consciousness of both ideas to the
illusion of perspective. It is worth noting that in his autobiography Hockney recalls his 1971 visit to temples and gardens in Kyoto; besides producing drawings of the Zen Garden at the time, he visited again in April 1993, taking photographs; thus, it is possible to consider that the temple had a special significance for him [30].

In this way, an affinity can be found between Hockney’s ‘reverse perspective’ idea and the Zen garden. So, with regard to a link with Japanese art, having arrived at an understanding of traditional painting in particular, when a comparison is made with Chinese handscrolls (the main topic of former studies), a number of differences are surely obvious.

3-3. Chinese and Japanese handscrolls

In previous relevant studies, the influence of China on the paintings in which Hockney practiced ‘reverse perspective’ has been emphasised, and the artist himself often explained the theory with reference to Chinese handscrolls [31]. Certainly, Hockney travelled to various parts of China in 1981, reflecting the scenery in photographs and paintings and showing an interest in the culture, and it is possible to dub this involvement significant [32]. However, in practice, when the Chinese handscrolls referenced by Hockney are specifically examined, it is possible to see that Hockney’s ‘reverse perspective’ also has characteristics of typical Japanese handscrolls.

First, the Chinese handscroll most frequently mentioned by Hockney is the Qing era, the seventh Kangxi Emperor’s Southern Inspection Tour, by Wang Hui and others (Figure 16), and he explains the Chinese ‘reverse perspective’ as seen in that work by comparing it to the one-point perspective of Western paintings and photographs [33]. Certainly, features that Hockney considers important can be seen in that scroll, including the zig-zag movement of focus that can be appreciated over time, the spatial layout that does not converge on one focus point, and the lively portrayal of individual characters. However, other scrolls that he references do not necessarily fit with his explanation of ‘reverse perspective’.

For example, there is a scroll box on the top shelf of the trolley in the photographic collage Paint Trolley (Figure 17). The writing on the box identifies the scroll as the Chinese Yuan period, Autumn Colors on the Ch’iao and Hua Mountains by Zhao Meng-fu, (Figure 18). However, upon actually viewing a replica of that scroll, the length of the scenery section can be seen to be shorter than one metre, which is clearly not long enough for viewing by unwinding the scroll section by section with a moving focus [34]. In addition, the trolley in the photographic collage widens out in an extreme manner, in a trapezoidal shape, towards the back, and the composition is almost like a diagram to illustrate the ‘reverse perspective’ theory [35]. As a result, it is possible to see the inclusion of the photograph of the scroll box in the collage as nothing other than a symbol of the concept of the ‘Chinese handscroll’, with the important thing being the external view of the box rather than the depiction of scenery on the actual scroll. The Yuan period Chinese handscroll Dwelling in the Fu-ch’un Mountains by Huang Gong-wang (Figure 19) also features in Hockney’s comments regarding ‘reverse perspective’. However, in this work, although the point of sight does slowly change from a long-distance view to a close-up view as the scroll moves along (for example, after a wide view over a distant lake the focus gradually moves to a close-up of rocky mountains), there is little up or down movement of the point of sight, with only a flat composition of continuing scenery [36]. Replicas of both of the Chinese scrolls mentioned were
published by Nigensha Publishing Co., Ltd. of Japan in 1981, accompanied by detailed explanations in English [37]. In an interview in 1987, Hockney said that his replicas of the Chinese handscrolls were made in Japan, and so it is very likely that he obtained them in Japan and that someone there informed his knowledge of the method of appreciation [38].

In fact, it is possible that much of Hockney’s knowledge of scrolls in the 1980s came from Japanese illustrated handscrolls, which he utilised in his work. In the long, large-scale oil painting *A Visit with Christopher & Don, Santa Monica Canyon*, 1984 (Figure 20), a distant building visible through the window is represented a number of times within the one work, and the repetition of that single motif resembles the Iji-Douzu ho’ method of depicting more than one moment within one picture, which was developed in Japan. For example, in the late Heian-period scroll *Shigisan-engi* (Legend of Mt. Shigi), a volume concerning Buddhist nun, a nun who is the older sister of the main character, the monk Myoren, is depicted travelling in his direction and visiting the temple in Nara. Notably, before and after the Hall of the Great Buddha scene (Figure 21), the nun visits a temple alone, spends a night praying to Buddha, receives a message in her dreams and then embarks on a journey to Mt. Shigi. The nun appears several times in one scroll, and a sequence of episodes is depicted one after the other [39].

Although the method of depicting more than one moment within one work was perfected in Chinese handscrolls, it apparently did not become as popular in China as it did in Japan [40]. In the Kangxi Emperor’s Southern Inspection Tour, the main character, the Kangxi Emperor, is only shown once on each scroll. In addition, the other two Chinese scrolls mentioned above are landscapes, and so there is no repetition of the same motif. Moreover, Hockney emphasized that a Chinese handscroll should not be opened up completely, but appreciated while moving one’s own body along and unrolling it a section at a time. In a 1988 film in which he demonstrates and explains Chinese handscrolls and ‘reverse perspective’, Hockney suggests appreciating a scroll by opening up three or four feet at a time at most [41]. When that feature is noted and the method of actually appreciating Chinese handscrolls and Japanese illustrated handscrolls is compared, a significant difference becomes clear.

In previous studies relating to Japanese illustrated handscrolls, it is observed that the main method of appreciation for Chinese narrative scrolls was in fact opening the whole scroll up to view the entire scene while, on the other hand, the main method of appreciation of Japanese illustrated scrolls was traditionally to unroll approximately 50-60cm at a time [42]. The assumption is that differences arose between the two because, with Japanese illustrated handscrolls, it is assumed that there is a temporal act of appreciation on the part of the viewer, and the composition aims to build a mutual relationship with the unfolding of the story within the artwork. In addition, the focus of the depicted characters and the viewer overlap, and the unfolding of the scenery in line with that can also be mentioned as a feature of Japanese illustrated handscrolls [43]. Therefore, the method of appreciation of Japanese illustrated handscrolls, in which they are viewed one section at a time, is closely related to the expression of time and the movement of the viewer’s body [44], and it could be considered to be extremely close to Hockney’s ‘reverse perspective’, as mentioned in section 3.

Based on this fact, when appreciating the large-scale oil painting *A Walk Around the Hotel Courtyard, Acatlan*, 1985 (Figure 22), which Hockney painted after he had experimented with
photographic collages, it is clear that the rhythmical repetition arising from the series of columns and the spaces between them produces the physical sensation of walking at a leisurely pace around the corridors, and it is certainly possible to see here an affinity with Japanese illustrated handscrolls.

4. Conclusion

This study clarifies Hockney’s ‘reverse perspective’ as a visual sense created from his unique interpretation of a variety of sources, including not just Rowley’s book, as noted earlier, but also his experiences during his visits to Japan. His sensibility towards the expression of time, which has its roots in a response in the 1970s to the repetition of pattern and the bleeding technique in nihon-ga, developed in the 1980s to include physically felt spatial expressions.

To this day, ‘reverse perspective’ remains a basic concept in Hockney’s discourse [45], and in recent years he has experimented with artworks in which multi-viewpoint moving images shot from multiple angles are reconstructed and projected onto a horizontal grid-style composite display. For example, in Seven Yorkshire Landscape Videos, created in 2011 [46], moving-shot images taken using up to 18 cameras of the woods of Yorkshire, where Hockney grew up, are projected in a composite manner onto a seven-metre-plus screen. Standing in front of this, the viewer has the sensation of strolling amid the images of scenery, and just when a blossom seems to be flowering or green woods appearing, the scene shifts to a tranquil silver forest. Along with the inclusion of the artist’s memories of his birthplace, it seems to me that this demonstrates a utilisation of a Japanese-like sensibility (love of the seasons that change with the passage of time) [47]. In this regard, I believe that this study underlines an important aspect for inclusion in any discussion of Hockney’s artistic career.

Note

This study is a Japanese translation, with some additions, based on a speech given at the 19th congress of the International Association for Aesthetics (25th September, 2013, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland). In my investigation, I received valuable information from Mr. Kenji Nishimura, head of the Nishimura Gallery, who extended exceptional kindness, including allowing me to examine artworks and consult primary sources. The staff of the Museum of Contemporary Art, Tokyo, extended great cooperation in allowing me to examine the Weather Series. I would like to finish by sincerely thanking everyone for their help.
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Hiroya Sugimura, ‘What the Twelve Travels Bring About’ in Twelve travels: British art in sensibility and experience, Executive committee of the Twelve travels: British Art in Sensibility and Experience Exhibition, 2008, pp. 14-15, 19, 177-78, 180. (in Japanese and English). Mr. Sugimura also mentions that works by Heihachiro Fukuda were on show in the same exhibition.


9th February and 14th June 2013, at the Nishimura Gallery, in interviews with the author of this study. Further, according to Mr. Nishimura, Hockney was attended by Mr. Arata Isozaki during his stay in 1971. Incidentally, Mr. Isozaki identified a relationship between Hockney’s *Japanese Rain on Canvas* and the depiction of stepping stones by Fukuda.


Arguably the largest-scale travelling solo exhibition of the 1980s, Hockney’s photographic works were shown in 41 places in 10 countries, including Europe, the US, Australia, and Japan. The naming of the pieces followed Lawrence Weschler’s classification, with those featuring Polaroid pictures called ‘Polaroid collages’, and those featuring ordinary 35mm photos called ‘photocollages’. However, in the main text of this study, I have used the general term ‘photographic collages’ for both. Lawrence Weschler, *Cameraworks: David Hockney*, London, 1984, p. 21.


Hockney (1993), p. 112. See the following article by the author of this study for details of Hockney’s photographic collages: ‘Hockney’s photographic collages: from ‘reverse perspective’ to the accumulation of memory’ *The Study of the History of Art*, Volume 47, The Society of History of Art Waseda University, pp. 87-108, 2009.


[25] The International Conference of Papers in Kyoto ’83, a report by the executive committee of the International Conference of Papers in Kyoto, Kyoto Chamber of Commerce and Industry, 1983, pp. 58-59 (in Japanese and English). The title on the mount of Walking in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple refers to the 21st February, but according to Mr. Nishimura it is in fact likely that to have been the 22nd.


[29] There are various explanations of the construction of Zen gardens; here, I referred to the Ryoanji Temple website. http://www.ryoanji.jp/smhp/garden/perspective.html (accessed on 5/12/14)
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Please note that in the summary currently on the Metropolitan Museum of Art website by curator Dawn Delbanco, there is mention, as a feature of Chinese handscrolls, that the scroll was unrolled shoulder-width section by section to reveal a narrative or journey developing in time and space. http://www.metmuseum.org/toah/hd/chhs/hd_chhs.htm (accessed on 5/12/2014).

However, in his 2010 discussion, curator Maxwell Hearn, who explained Chinese handscrolls to Hockney in the 1980s, says that the handscroll format is very intimate in that only one or two people can view it at any one time, but makes no mention of the unrolling of the paper by the viewer’s own hand or the length of paper in each section. Hockney (1993), p. 128; Maxwell K. Hearn, ‘Painting and Calligraphy under the Mongols,’ in The World of Khubilai Khan: Chinese Art in the Yuan Dynasty, Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, 2010, p. 181.


Seven Yorkshire Landscape Videos, 2011. Eighteen digital videos synchronized and presented on 18 x 55 inch NEC screens to comprise a single artwork, 205.7 x 729.0cm, overall. Duration: 12 mins 9 sec.

On other occasions I have considered the issue of memory in regard to Hockney’s 1970-80s works. Please see Note 19 and Note 40.

Figs. 1, 2, 13, 14, 20, 22 can be found in *David Hockney: A Retrospective*, Los Angeles County Museum of Art, 1988.

Figs. 9-12 (The Weather Series) can be found in *David Hockney Prints*, Tokyo Shimbun, 2016-2017 (in Japanese).

Fig. 17 can be found in Paul Melia and Ulrich Luckhardt, *David Hockney*, Munich, Berlin, London and New York, 2007 (2000).
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Fig. 1: David Hockney, *Japanese Rain on Canvas* 1972. Acrylic on canvas, 121.9x121.9cm. Private Collection.

Fig. 2: David Hockney, *Mt. Fuji and Flowers* 1972. Acrylic on canvas, 152.4x121.9cm. Collection Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York.

Fig. 9: David Hockney, *Rain* from The Weather Series 1973. Lithograph and screenprint, 99.0x77.4cm.

Fig. 10: David Hockney *Sun* from The Weather Series 1973. Lithograph and screenprint. 95.2x78.0cm.

Fig. 11: David Hockney, *Lightning* from The Weather Series 1973. Lithograph and screenprint. 99.6x80.3cm.

Fig. 12: David Hockney, *Wind* from The Weather Series 1973. Lithograph and screenprint. 101.6x78.7cm.

Fig. 13: David Hockney, *Sitting in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple, Kyoto, Feb. 19, 1983* Photographic collage, 149.9x108.6cm. Collection of the Artist.

Fig. 14: David Hockney, *Walking in the Zen Garden at the Ryoanji Temple, Kyoto, Feb. 1983* Photographic collage, 101.6x152.4cm. Collection of the Artist.

Fig. 17: David Hockney, *Paint Trolley, L.A. 1985* Photographic collage, 101.6x152.4cm. Collection of the Artist.

Fig. 20: David Hockney, *A Visit with Christopher & Don, Santa Monica Canyon* 1984. Oil on two canvases, 182.9x609.6cm. Collection Museum Ludwig, Cologne.

Fig 22: David Hockney *A Walk Around the Hotel Courtyard, Acatlan* 1985. Oil on two canvases, 183x609.6cm. Collection of Benesse Art Site, Naoshima, Kagawa, Japan.

**Works by Other Artists**

Fig. 3  Yoson Ikeda, *Osaka in the Rain* 1935. Ink on silk, size unknown. Kyoto Municipal Museum of Art. (This work was can be found in *Nine excellent Japanese-style painters in Kyoto*, Kyoto Municipal Museum of Art, 1971 (in Japanese)).

Fig. 4  Heihachiro Fukuda, *Ripple* 1932. Ink on silk, two panels, 157x184cm. Osaka City Museum of Modern Art (This work can be found in *Heihachiro Fukuda Exhibition: Works and Sketches*, Oita Prefectural Art Center, 1991.)
Fig. 5  Heihachiro Fukuda, *Fresh Snow* 1948. 
Ink on silk, 112.0x82.0cm. 
Whereabouts unknown.

Fig. 6  Heihachiro Fukuda, *Rain* 1958. 
Ink on paper, 108.7x86.5cm. National Museum of Modern Art, Tokyo

* Figs. 5 and 6 can be found in *Fukuda Heihachiro*, The Kyoto Shimbun Co., Ltd., 2007 (in Japanese).

Fig. 7  Shinsen Tokuoka, *Rain* 1964. 
Ink on silk, 110.7x143.7cm. 
Shizuoka Prefectural Museum of Art

Fig. 8  Shinsen Tokuoka, *Pond* 1952. 
Ink on silk, 129.9x174.8cm. 
National Museum of Modern Art, Kyoto.

* Figs. 7 and 8 can be found in *Shinsen Tokuoka: The Man and His Art*, The Yamatane Museum and The Asahi Shimbun, 1989 (in Japanese).

Fig. 15  Ryoanji Temple Zen Garden. 
Approximate point from which photographs for *Sitting in the Zen Garden* were taken. 
(Fig. 15 is taken from *Zen art: Zen Architecture and Gardens*, Gaku-syukenkyusha, 1979 (in Japanese). The arrow was added by the author of this article.)

Fig. 16  Wang Hui and others, *Wu-his to Suchou, the seventh Kangxi Emperor's Southern Inspection Tour*, 17th century. Handscroll, Ink on paper. Metropolitan Museum of Art (detail). 
(Fig. 16 can be found in Paul Joyce, Hockney on Photography: Conversations with Paul Joyce, London, 1988).
Fig. 18  Zhao Meng-fu, *Autumn Colors on the Ch’iao and Hua Mountains* c.1295. Handscroll, Ink on paper, 28.4x90.2cm. National Palace Museum (detail).

Fig. 19  Huang Gong-wang, *Dwelling in the Fu-ch’un Mountains* 1350. Handscroll, Ink on paper, 33x636.9cm. National Palace Museum (detail).

* Figs. 18 and 19 can be found in *New History of World Art: Eastern, Volume 7, Yuan period*, Shogakukan, 1999 (in Japanese).

Fig. 21  Unknown Artist, *Shigisan-engi* (Legend of Mt. Shigi) 12th Century. Handscroll, a volume concerning a Buddhist nun, Folio 15, 31.7x58.5cm. Collection of Chogosonshi-ji Temple (detail). (Fig. 21 can be found in *Encyclopedia of Japanese Handscrolls, Volume 4, Legend of Mt. Shigi*, Chuokoronsha, 1977 (in Japanese).)